## Max Flow, Min Cut

## Minimum cut

Maximum flow
Max-flow min-cut theorem
Ford-Fulkerson augmenting path algorithm
Edmonds-Karp heuristics
Bipartite matching

Max flow and min cut.

- Two very rich algorithmic problems.
- Cornerstone problems in combinatorial optimization.
- Beautiful mathematical duality.

Nontrivial applications / reductions.

- Network connectivity. . Network reliability.
- Bipartite matching.
- Security of statistical data.
- Data mining.
- Distributed computing.
- Open-pit mining.
- Egalitarian stable matching.
- Airline scheduling.
- Distributed computing.
- Image processing.
- Many many more . .
- Project selection.
- Baseball elimination.

Soviet Rail Network, 1955


Source: On the history of the transportation and maximum flow problems.
Alexander Schrijver in Math Programming, 91: 3, 2002.

## Minimum Cut Problem

Network: abstraction for material FLOWING through the edges.

- Directed graph.
- Capacities on edges.
- Source node s, sink node t.

Min cut problem. Delete "best" set of edges to disconnect t from s.

A cut is a node partition $(S, T)$ such that $s$ is in $S$ and $\dagger$ is in $T$.

- capacity $(S, T)=$ sum of weights of edges leaving $S$.
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## Minimum Cut Problem

A cut is a node partition ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}$ ) such that $s$ is in $S$ and $\dagger$ is in $T$.

- capacity $(S, T)=$ sum of weights of edges leaving $S$.

Min cut problem. Find an s-t cut of minimum capacity.


Capacity $=28$


## Maximum Flow Problem

Network: abstraction for material FLOWING through the edges.

- Directed graph.
- Capacities on edges.
- Source node s, sink node t.

Max flow problem. Assign flow to edges so as to:
. Equalize inflow and outflow at every intermediate vertex.

- Maximize flow sent from s to t.


A flow $f$ is an assignment of weights to edges so that:

- Capacity: $0 \leq f(e) \leq u(e)$.
- Flow conservation: flow leaving $v=$ flow entering $v$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{1} \\
\text { except at s or } t
\end{gathered}
$$



## Maximum Flow Problem

Max flow problem: find flow that maximizes net flow into sink.


A flow $f$ is an assignment of weights to edges so that:

- Capacity: $0 \leq f(e) \leq u(e)$.
- Flow conservation: flow leaving $v=$ flow entering $v$.

$$
\stackrel{\text { en }}{\text { except at s or } \dagger}
$$



Value $=24$

Flows and Cuts

Observation 1. Let $f$ be a flow, and let ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}$ ) be any s-t cut. Then, the net flow sent across the cut is equal to the amount reaching $t$.
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Observation 1. Let $f$ be a flow, and let $(S, T)$ be any s-t cut. Then, the net flow sent across the cut is equal to the amount reaching $t$.


Max Flow and Min Cut

Observation 3. Let $f$ be a flow, and let $(S, T)$ be an $s-\dagger$ cut whose capacity equals the value of $f$. Then $f$ is a max flow and $(S, T)$ is a min cut.

```
Cut capacity = 28 F Flow value }\leq2
```



Max-flow min-cut theorem. (Ford-Fulkerson, 1956): In any network, the value of max flow equals capacity of min cut.

- Proof IOU: we find flow and cut such that Observation 3 applies.

Min cut capacity $=28 \Leftrightarrow$ Max flow value $=28$


Towards an Algorithm

Find s-† path where each arc has $f(e)<u(e)$ and "augment" flow along it.

- Greedy algorithm: repeat until you get stuck.


Find s-t path where each arc has $f(e)<u(e)$ and "augment" flow along it.
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## Towards an Algorithm

Find s-t path where each arc has $f(e)<u(e)$ and "augment" flow along it.

- Greedy algorithm: repeat until you get stuck.
. Fails: need to be able to "backtrack."


Original graph.

- Flow f(e).
- Edge e = v-w


Residual edge.

- Edge $e=v-w$ or $w-v$.
. "Undo" flow sent.
residual capacity $=u(e)-f(e)$
Residual graph.
- All the edges that have strictly positive residual capacity.

residual capacity $=f(e)$


## Augmenting Paths

Observation 4. If augmenting path, then not yet a max flow.
$Q$. If no augmenting path, is it a max flow?


Augmenting path = path in residual graph.

- Increase flow along forward edges.
- Decrease flow along backward edges.


Ford-Fulkerson Augmenting Path Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Generic method for solving max flow.

```
while (there exists an augmenting path) {
    Find augmenting path P
    Compute bottleneck capacity of P
    Augment flow along P
}
```


## Questions.

- Does this lead to a maximum flow? yes
- How do we find an augmenting path? s-t path in residual graph
- How many augmenting paths does it take?
- How much effort do we spending finding a path?

Augmenting path theorem. A flow $f$ is a max flow if and only if there are no augmenting paths.

Max-flow min-cut theorem. The value of the max flow is equal to the capacity of the min cut.

We prove both simultaneously by showing the following are equivalent:
(i) $f$ is a max flow.
(ii) There is no augmenting path relative to $f$.
(iii) There exists a cut whose capacity equals the value of $f$.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) equivalent to not (ii) $\Rightarrow$ not (i), which was Observation 4
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) next slide
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) this was Observation 3
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). If there is no augmenting path relative to $f$, then there exists a cut whose capacity equals the value of $f$.
Proof.

- Let $f$ be a flow with no augmenting paths.
- Let $S$ be set of vertices reachable from $s$ in residual graph.
- S contains s; since no augmenting paths, $S$ does not contain $\dagger$
- all edges e leaving $S$ in original network have $f(e)=u(e)$
- all edges e entering $S$ in original network have $f(e)=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f| & =\sum_{\text {eout of } s} f(e)-\sum_{\text {ein to } S} f(e) \\
& =\sum_{\text {e out of } S} u(e) \\
& =\text { capacity }(S, T)
\end{aligned}
$$


residual network

## Max Flow Network Implementation

Edge in original graph may correspond to 1 or 2 residual edges.

- May need to traverse edge $e=v$-w in forward or reverse direction.
- Flow $=f(e)$, capacity $=u(e)$.
- Insert two copies of each edge, one in adjacency list of $v$ and one in $w$.

```
public class Edge {
    private int v, w; // from, to
    private int cap; // capacity from v to w
    private int flow; // flow from v to w
    public Edge(int v, int w, int cap) { ... }
    public int cap() { return cap; }
    public int flow() { return flow; }
    public boolean from(int v) { return this.v == v;
    public int other(int v) { return from(v) ? this.w : this.v. }
    public int other(int v) { return from(v) ? this.w : this.v;}
    public int capRto(int v) { return from(v) ? flow : cap - flow; }
    public void addflowRto(int v, int d) { flow += from(v) ? -d : d; }
}
```

Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm: Implementation
Ford-Fulkerson main loop.

```
// while there exists an augmenting path, use it
while (augpath()) {
    // compute bottleneck capacity
    int bottle = INFINITY;
    for (int v = t; v != s; v = ST(v))
            bottle = Math.min(bottle, pred[v].capRto(v));
    // augment flow
    for (int v = t; v != s; v = ST(v))
        pred[v].addflowRto(v, bottle);
    // keep track of total flow sent from s to t
    value += bottle;
}
```

Assumption: all capacities are integers between 1 and $U$.

Invariant: every flow value and every residual capacities remain an integer throughout the algorithm.

Theorem: the algorithm terminates in at most $\left|f^{*}\right| \leq V U$ iterations.
not polynomial in input size!
Corollary: if $U=1$, then algorithm runs in $\leq V$ iterations.

Integrality theorem: if all arc capacities are integers, then there exists a max flow for which every flow value is an integer

Use care when selecting augmenting paths.
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## Choosing Good Augmenting Paths

Use care when selecting augmenting paths.

- Some choices lead to exponential algorithms.
- Clever choices lead to polynomial algorithms.
- Optimal choices for real world problems ???

Design goal is to choose augmenting paths so that:

- Can find augmenting paths efficiently.
- Few iterations.

Choose augmenting path with:

- Fewest number of arcs.

Edmonds-Karp (1972)

- Max bottleneck capacity.
(shortest path)
(fattest path)


## Shortest Augmenting Path

Shortest augmenting path.

- Easy to implement with BFS.
- Finds augmenting path with fewest number of arcs.

```
while (!q.isEmpty()) {
    int v = q.dequeue();
        IntIterator i = G.neighbors(v);
        while(i.hasNext()) {
            Edge e = i.next();
            int w = e.other(v)
            if (e.capRto(w) > 0) { // is v-w a residual edge?
            if (wt[w] > wt[v] + 1) {
                wt[w] = wt[v] + 1;
                    pred[w] = e; // keep track of shortest path
                q.enqueue (w);
            }
            }
        }
}
return (wt[t] < INFINITY); // is there an augmenting path?
```

Length of shortest augmenting path increases monotonically.

- Strictly increases after at most E augmentations.
- At most $\mathrm{E} V$ total augmenting paths.
- $O\left(E^{2} V\right)$ running time.


Fattest augmenting path.
. Finds augmenting path whose bottleneck capacity is maximum.

- Delivers most amount of flow to sink.
. Solve using Dijkstra-style (PFS) algorithm.


```
if (wt[w] < Math.min(wt[v], e.capRto(w)) {
    wt[w] = Math.min(wt[v], e.capRto(w));
    pred[w] = v;
```

Finding a fattest path. $O(E \log V)$ per augmentation with binary heap. Fact. $O(E \log U$ ) augmentations if capacities are between 1 and $U$.

## Choosing an Augmenting Path

Choosing an augmenting path.

- Any path will do $\Rightarrow$ wide latitude in implementing Ford-Fulkerson.
- Generic priority first search.
- Some choices lead to good worst-case performance.
- shortest augmenting path
- fattest augmenting path
- variation on a theme: PFS
- Average case not well understood.

Research challenges.

- Practice: solve max flow problems on real networks in linear time.
- Theory: prove it for worst-case networks.

History of Worst-Case Running Times

| Year | Discoverer | Method | Asymptotic Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1951 | Dantzig | Simplex | $E V^{2} U^{\dagger}$ |
| 1955 | Ford, Fulkerson | Augmenting path | $E$ V U ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1970 | Edmonds-Karp | Shortest path | $E^{2} V$ |
| 1970 | Edmonds-Karp | Max capacity | $E \log U(E+V \log V)^{\dagger}$ |
| 1970 | Dinitz | Improved shortest path | $E V^{2}$ |
| 1972 | Edmonds-Karp, Dinitz | Capacity scaling | $E^{2} \log U^{\dagger}$ |
| 1973 | Dinitz-Gabow | Improved capacity scaling | $E V \log U^{\dagger}$ |
| 1974 | Karzanov | Preflow-push | $V^{3}$ |
| 1983 | Sleator-Tarjan | Dynamic trees | $E V \log V$ |
| 1986 | Goldberg-Tarjan | FIFO preflow-push | $E V \log \left(V^{2} / E\right)$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 1997 | Goldberg-Rao | Length function | $E^{3 / 2} \log \left(V^{2} / E\right) \log U^{\dagger}$ |
| $E V^{2 / 3} \log \left(V^{2} / E\right) \log U^{\dagger}$ |  |  |  |

Jon placement

- Companies make job offers
- Students have job choices.

Can we fill every job?

Can we employ every student?

Alice-Adobe
Bob-Yahoo
Carol-HP
Dave-Apple
Eliza-IBM
Frank-Sun

| Alice | Adobe |
| :---: | :---: |
| Adobe | Alice |
| Apple | Bob |
| HP | Dave |
| Bob | Apple |
| Adobe | Alice |
| Apple | Bob |
| Yahoo | Dave |
| Carol | HP |
| HP | Alice |
| IBM | Carol |
| Sun | Frank |
| Dave | IBM |
| Adobe | Carol |
| Apple | Eliza |
| Eliza | Sun |
| IBM | Carol |
| Sun | Eliza |
| Yahoo | Frank |
| Frank | Yahoo |
| HP | Bob |
| Sun | Eliza |
| Yahoo | Frank |

## Bipartite Matching

Bipartite matching.

- Input: undirected and bipartite graph $G$.
- Set of edges $M$ is a matching if each vertex appears at most once.
- Max matching: find a max cardinality matching.


## Matching M

1-A, 2-B, 3-C, 4-D

L


Bipartite matching.

- Input: undirected and bipartite graph $G$.
- Set of edges $M$ is a matching if each vertex appears at most once.
- Max matching: find a max cardinality matching.

L


## Bipartite Matching

Reduces to max flow.

- Create a directed graph G'.
- Direct all arcs from $L$ to $R$, and give infinite (or unit) capacity.
- Add source $s$, and unit capacity arcs from $s$ to each node in $L$.
- Add sink $t$, and unit capacity arcs from each node in $R$ to $t$.


$G^{\prime}$

Claim. Matching in $G$ of cardinality $k$ induces flow in $G^{\prime}$ of value $k$.

- Given matching $M=\{1-\mathrm{B}, 3-\mathrm{A}, 4-\mathrm{E}\}$ of cardinality 3.
- Consider flow $f$ that sends 1 unit along each of 3 paths:
s-1-B-t s-3-A-t s-4-E-t
- $f$ is a flow, and has cardinality 3 .

$G$

$G^{\prime}$

Claim. Flow $f$ of value $k$ in $G^{\prime}$ induces matching of cardinality $k$ in $G$.
. By integrality theorem, there exists $0 / 1$ valued flow $f$ of value $k$.

- Consider $M=$ set of edges from $L$ to $R$ with $f(e)=1$.
- each node in $L$ and $R$ incident to at most one edge in $M$
- $|M|=k$

$G$

$G^{\prime}$


## Reduction

## Reduction.

- Given an instance of bipartite matching.
- Transform it to a max flow problem.
- Solve max flow problem.
- Transform max flow solution to bipartite matching solution.


## Issues.

- How expensive is transformation? $O(E+V)$
- Is it better to solve problem directly? $O\left(E V^{1 / 2}\right)$ bipartite matching

Bottom line: max flow is an extremely rich problem-solving model.

- Many important practical problems reduce to max flow.
- We know good algorithms for solving max flow problems.

