

# Problems: set 2

### Aggregate supply

1. Discuss, in economic terms, the effects of increased international globalization, and hence of an increase in the absolute value of the price elasticity of demand  $\sigma$ , on the natural rate of unemployment. Remember:

$$\overline{u} = 1 - \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{m^p m^w c}\right)^{1/\alpha}$$
$$m^p = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}$$
$$m^w = \frac{\eta \epsilon}{\eta \epsilon - 1} \text{ and } \epsilon = \frac{\sigma}{1 + \alpha (\sigma - 1)}$$

2. Suppose you are asked to estimate the natural rate of unemployment for a particular country. How would you proceed?

Explaining business cycles

1. In period 0 the economy is in a long-run equilibrium. Use the graphical representation of the AD-AS model with static expectations to illustrate the effects of a temporary positive supply shock which occurs in period 1, and only in that period. Explain the short-run effects and the dynamic adjustment of the economy. Remember:

$$y_t - \overline{y} = \alpha \left(\pi^* - \pi_t\right) + z_t \qquad \alpha = \frac{\alpha_2 h}{1 + \alpha_2 b} ; \ z_t = \frac{v_t + \alpha_1 \left(g_t - \overline{g}\right)}{1 + \alpha_2 b}$$
$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + \gamma \left(y_t - \overline{y}\right) + s_t$$

2. Now assume that the supply shock lasts for 2 periods, i.e. period 1 and period 2. Discuss the effects and compare the results with the ones of the previous question.

### Stabilization policy. Why?

1. What are the arguments for avoiding employment fluctuations? What factors determine the magnitude of the welfare cost of employment fluctuations; more in particular, explain why the welfare cost is increasing in the parameters  $\alpha, \theta$  and  $\mu$ . Remember:

$$\frac{\Delta/\overline{U}_C}{\overline{C}} = \frac{\left(\overline{\delta} - 1\right)}{\overline{\delta}}\widehat{y} - \left[\theta + \frac{\mu}{\overline{\delta}\left(1 - \alpha\right)}\right]\frac{\widehat{y}^2}{2}$$

2. Discuss why it is socially desirable to stabilize the rate of inflation around some constant and strictly positive target value.



## Stabilization policy. How?

1. Consider a model with static expectations and outside lags. The goods market equilibrium condition and the AS function are given by:

$$y_{t+1} - \overline{y} = z_{t+1} + \alpha_1 \left( y_t - \overline{y} \right) - \alpha_2 \left( i_t^p - \pi_t - \overline{r}^* \right)$$
  
$$\pi_{t+2} = \pi_{t+1} + \gamma \left( y_{t+1} - \overline{y} \right) + s_{t+2}$$

- (a) How can these lags be rationalized?
- (b) Suppose the central bank only cares about inflation. It was then shown that the central bank should follow a policy of inflation forecast targeting

$$\pi^e_{t+2,t} = \pi^*$$

where  $\pi^*$  is the target inflation rate.

i. Show that, in order to attain this objective, the central bank should set the nominal interest rate as follows:

$$i_t^p = \overline{r}^* + \pi_t + h\left(\pi_t - \pi^*\right) + b\left(y_t - \overline{y}\right) \qquad \text{where } h = \frac{1}{\gamma\alpha_2} \quad ; \quad b = \frac{1 + \alpha_1}{\alpha_2}$$

- ii. Explain why the interest rate should react to the output gap although the central bank is only concerned with inflation.
- 2. Suppose the economy is in a deep recession and has fallen into a liquidity trap where the policy interest rate has hit its zero lower bound. The AD-AS model is

$$\pi = \rho - \overline{\rho} - \overline{r}^* + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \left[ y - \overline{y} - v - \alpha_1 \left( g - \overline{g} \right) + \widetilde{m} C_Y \left( \tau - \overline{\tau} \right) \right]$$
  
$$\pi = \pi_{-1} + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \left( y - \overline{y} \right) + s \text{ where } s = \ln \left( \frac{m^p}{\overline{m}^p} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{m^w}{\overline{m}^w} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{1 - \tau}{1 - \overline{\tau}} \right)$$

Carry out a graphical analysis tot discover which type of fiscal policy, an increase in public spending or a tax cut, is likely to be most effective in pulling the economy out of the recession.

#### Stabilization policy with rational expectations

- 1. What is the Lucas critique all about? Illustrate with an example of your own.
- 2. Suppose the CB follows the following interest rate rule:

$$r_t = \overline{r} + h \left( \pi_{t,t-1}^e - \pi^* \right) + a_t$$

where  $a_t$  is a white noise stochastic variable reflecting the non-systematic and hence unpredictable part of monetary policy.

The goods market equilibrium condition:

$$y_t - \overline{y} = z_t - \alpha_2 \left( r_t - r \right)$$

The short run aggregate supply function:

$$\pi_{t} = \pi_{t,t-1}^{e} + \gamma \left( y_{t} - \overline{y} \right) + s$$



- (a) Explain the assumptions behind the interest rate rule.
- (b) Assume rational expectations and show that the solution of the model is

$$y_t = \overline{y} + z_t - \alpha_2 a_t$$
  
$$\pi_t = \pi^* + \gamma z_t - \gamma \alpha_2 a_t + s_t$$

- (c) Is monetary policy "effective"? Explain why or why not.
- (d) Show that greater predictability of monetary policy, i.e. a smaller variance  $\sigma_a^2$  of the unpredictable part  $a_t$  will unambiguously raise social welfare by reducing the variance of output and inflation.
- 3. Announcement effects. Whe used the following model of the stock market:

$$V_t = \frac{D_{t,t}^e}{1+r} + \frac{D_{t+1,t}^e}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{D_{t+2,t}^e}{(1+r)^3} + \cdots$$
$$d_{t+n} = \overline{d} + \varepsilon_{t+n}$$
$$D_{t+n,t}^e = (1-\tau_0)\overline{d}$$

and derived the following expression for the market value of shares:

$$V_t = \frac{(1-\tau_0)\,\overline{d}}{r}.$$

If at time  $t = t_0$  the government announces that it will permanently reduce the dividend tax rate to  $\tau_1 < \tau_0$  from some future time period  $t_1 > t_0$  then

$$V_{t} = \frac{(1-\tau_{0})\overline{d}}{1+r} + \frac{(1-\tau_{0})\overline{d}}{(1+r)^{2}} + \dots + \frac{(1-\tau_{0})\overline{d}}{(1+r)^{t_{1}-t}} + \frac{(1-\tau_{1})\overline{d}}{(1+r)^{t_{1}+2-t}} + \dots \Rightarrow$$
$$V_{t} = \frac{\overline{d}}{r} \left\{ \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{(1+r)^{t_{1}-t}} \right] (1-\tau_{0}) + \left[ \frac{1}{(1+r)^{t_{1}-t}} \right] (1-\tau_{1}) \right\} \quad \text{for } t_{0} \le t \le t_{1}$$

Now suppose that at time  $\tilde{t}$  where  $t_0 < \tilde{t} < t_1$  it becomes clear to all agents that the reduction of the dividend tax rate will be postponed to period  $t_1 + 1$ . Trace the dynamic effects on the market value of shares.